Jason M. Rudd
Texas State Bar No. 24028786
jason.rudd@wickphillips.com
Lauren K. Drawhorn
Texas State Bar No. 24074528
lauren.drawhorn@wickphillips.com
WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP
3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 500
Dallas, Texas 75204
Telephone: (214) 692-6200

COUNSEL FOR HCRE PARTNERS, LLC (N/K/A NEXPOINT REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC)

Fax: (214) 692-6255

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

| In re: | § | |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| | § | Chapter 11 |
| HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, | § | |
| L.P. | § | Case No.: 19-34054-sgj11 |
| | § | |
| Debtor. | § | |
| | § | |
| HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, | § | |
| L.P. | § | |
| | § | |
| Plaintiff, | § | |
| | § | Adv. Pro. No. 21-03007-sgj |
| VS. | § | |
| | § | |
| HCRE PARTNERS, LLC (n/k/a | § | |
| NEXPOINT REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, | § | |
| LLC), | § | |
| ,, | § | |
| Defendant. | § | |
| | 9 | |

HCRE PARTNERS, LLC'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Defendant HCRE Partners, LLC (n/k/a NexPoint Real Estate Partners, LLC) ("<u>HCRE</u>" or "<u>Defendant</u>") files this Answer in response to Highland Capital Management L.P.'s ("<u>Plaintiff</u>" or "<u>Debtor</u>") Complaint for (I) Breach of Contract and (II) Turnover of Property of the Debtor's

Estate (the "Complaint") in the above-referend adversary proceeding (the "Adversary Proceeding") and respectfully states as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT¹

- 1. The first sentence of Paragraph 1 sets forth Plaintiff's objective in bringing the Complaint and does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 1. The second sentence contains a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 1.
- 2. Paragraph 2 contains a summary of the relief Plaintiff seeks and does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 2.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Defendant admits that this Adversary Proceeding relates to the Plaintiff's bankruptcy case but denies any implication that this fact confers constitutional authority on the Bankruptcy Court to adjudicate this dispute. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 3 that are not expressly admitted.
- 4. Paragraph 4 states a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant admits the Bankruptcy Court has statutory jurisdiction over this Adversary Proceeding but denies that the Court has constitutional authority over this Adversary Proceeding. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 4 that are not expressly admitted.
- 5. Defendant denies that Plaintiff's breach of contract claim is a core proceeding. Defendant further denies that a turnover proceeding under 11 U.S.C. § 542(b) is the appropriate mechanism to collect a contested debt. Defendant admits that a turnover proceeding under 11

¹ The headings herein are from Plaintiff's Complaint and are solely included for the Court's convenience.

U.S.C. § 542(b) is a statutorily core proceeding but denies that it is constitutionally core under *Stern v. Marshall*. Defendant does not consent to the Bankruptcy Court entering final orders or judgment in this Adversary Proceeding. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 5 that are not expressly admitted.

6. Paragraph 6 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant admits that venue is proper in this District.

THE PARTIES

- 7. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.
- 8. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint.

CASE BACKGROUND

- 9. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
- 10. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint.
- 11. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint.
- 12. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the Complaint.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. The HCRE Demand Notes

- 13. Defendant admits it has executed at least one promissory note under which the Debtor is the payee. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 13 that are not expressly admitted.
- 14. Defendant admits that it signed the document attached to the Complaint as Exhibit1. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 14 that are not expressly admitted.
 - 15. Defendant admits that it signed the document attached to the Complaint as Exhibit
- 2. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 15 that are not expressly admitted.

- 16. Defendant admits that it signed the document attached to the Complaint as Exhibit3. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 16 that are not expressly admitted.
- 17. Defendant admits that it signed the document attached to the Complaint as Exhibit4. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 17 that are not expressly admitted.
- 18. Defendant admits that Plaintiff correctly transcribed Section 2 of Exhibits 1-4 to the Complaint in Paragraph 18.
- 19. Defendant admits that Plaintiff correctly transcribed Section 4 of Exhibits 1-4 to the Complaint in Paragraph 19.
- 20. Defendant admits that Plaintiff correctly transcribed Section 6 of Exhibits 1-4 of the Complaint in Paragraph 20.

B. Allegations regarding the Demand Notes

- 21. Defendant admits that Plaintiff sent it a copy of Exhibit 5. Defendant admits that Plaintiff correctly transcribed an excerpt of Exhibit 5 in the third sentence of Paragraph 21. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 21 that are not expressly admitted.
- 22. To the extent Paragraph 22 asserts a legal conclusion, no response is required, and it is denied. Defendant otherwise admits the allegations in Paragraph 22.
- 23. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 23 and, therefore, denies them.
- 24. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 24 and, therefore, denies them.
- 25. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 25 and, therefore, denies them.
- 26. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 26 and, therefore, denies them.

- 27. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 27 and, therefore, denies them.
 - 28. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 28 of the Complaint.

C. The HCRE Term Note

- 29. Defendant admits that it has executed at least one promissory note under which Debtor is the payee. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 29 that are not expressly admitted.
- 30. Defendant admits it signed the document attached to the Complaint as Exhibit 6. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 30 that are not expressly admitted.
- 31. Defendant admits that Plaintiff correctly transcribed Section 2 of Exhibit 6 to the Complaint in Paragraph 31. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 31 that are not expressly admitted.
- 32. Defendant admits that Plaintiff correctly transcribed Section 3 of Exhibit 6 to the Complaint in Paragraph 32. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 32 that are not expressly admitted.
- 33. Defendant admits that Plaintiff correctly transcribed Section 4 of Exhibit 6 to the Complaint in Paragraph 33. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 33 that are not expressly admitted.
- 34. Defendant admits that Plaintiff correctly transcribed Section 6 of Exhibit 6 to the Complaint in Paragraph 34. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 34 that are not expressly admitted.

D. Allegations regarding the Term Note.

35. To the extent Paragraph 35 of the Complaint asserts a legal conclusion, no response is required, and it is denied. Defendant otherwise admits Paragraph 35 of the Complaint.

- 36. Defendant admits that Plaintiff sent it a copy of Exhibit 7. Defendant admits that Plaintiff correctly transcribed an excerpt of Exhibit 7 in the third sentence of Paragraph 36 of the Complaint. Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 36 that are not expressly admitted.
- 37. To the extent Paragraph 37 of the Complaint asserts a legal conclusion, no response is required, and it is denied. Defendant otherwise admits Paragraph 37 of the Complaint.
- 38. Defendant is without sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 38 of the Complaint and, therefore, denies them.
 - 39. Defendant denies Paragraph 39 of the Complaint.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (For Breach of Contract)

- 40. Paragraph 40 of the Complaint seeks to incorporate the allegations in the preceding paragraphs and does not require a response. Defendant incorporates all prior denials herein by reference.
- 41. Paragraph 41 of the Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To the extent it alleges facts, Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations and, therefore, denies them.
- 42. Paragraph 42 of the Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To the extent it alleges facts, Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations and, therefore, denies them.
- 43. Paragraph 43 of the Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To the extent it alleges facts, Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations and, therefore, denies them.

- 44. Paragraph 44 of the Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To the extent it alleges facts, Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations and, therefore, denies them.
 - 45. Defendant denies Paragraph 45 of the Complaint.
 - 46. Defendant denies Paragraph 46 of the Complaint.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Turnover by HCRE Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 549(b))

- 47. Paragraph 47 seeks to incorporate the allegations in the preceding paragraphs and does not require a response. Defendant incorporates all prior denials herein by reference.
- 48. Paragraph 48 of the Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To the extent it alleges facts, Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations and, therefore, denies them.
- 49. Paragraph 49 of the Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To the extent it alleges facts, Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations and, therefore, denies them.
- 50. Paragraph 50 of the Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To the extent it alleges facts, Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations and, therefore, denies them.
- 51. Defendant admits that Plaintiff transmitted Exhibits 5 and 7 to the Complaint. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 51 of the Complaint and, therefore, denies them.
- 52. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 52 of the Complaint and, therefore, denies them.
 - 53. Defendant denies Paragraph 53 of the Complaint.

54. Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in the prayer of the Complaint, including parts (i), (ii), and (iii).

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 55. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of justification and/or repudiation.
 - 56. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of estoppel.
 - 57. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of waiver.
- 58. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by the doctrines of offset and/or setoff.

JURY DEMAND

- 59. HCRE demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38 and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9015.
- 60. HCRE does not consent to the Bankruptcy Court conducting a jury trial and therefore demands such jury trial in the District Court.

PRAYER

For these reasons, HCRE respectfully requests that, following a trial on the merits, the Court deny the relief Plaintiffs seeks through its Complaint, enter a judgment that the Plaintiff take nothing on the Complaint, and grant HCRE such other relief at law or in equity to which it may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lauren K. Drawhorn

Jason M. Rudd

Texas Bar No. 24028786 Lauren K. Drawhorn

Texas Bar No. 24074528

WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP

3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 500

Dallas, Texas 75204

Telephone: (214) 692-6200

Fax: (214) 692-6255

Email: jason.rudd@wickphillips.com lauren.drawhorn@wickphillips.com

COUNSEL FOR HCRE PARTNERS, LLC (N/K/A NEXPOINT REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 3, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing pleading was served via the Court's CM/ECF system upon counsel for the Plaintiff and all other parties requesting or consenting to such service in this adversary case.

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com

Ira D. Kharasch

ikharasch@pszilaw.com

John A. Morris

jmorris@pszjlaw.com

Gregory V. Demo gdemo@pszjlaw.com

guenio@pszjiaw.con

Hayley R. Winograd

hwinograd@pszilaw.com

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90067

Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Melissa S. Hayward

MHayward@HaywardFirm.com

Zachery Z. Annable

ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com

HAYWARD PLLC

10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106

Dallas, Texas 75231

Fax: (972) 755-7110

/s/ Lauren K. Drawhorn

Lauren K. Drawhorn